Weisfeiler and Leman Consider Sampling Blessings of Dimensionality

Abdullah Naeem Malik

Department of Science and Math Tallahassee State College

October 25, 2024

Neural Networks

Message passing in Graph Neural Networks

$$
x_v^{(k+1)} = \text{COMBINE}\Big(x_v^{(k)}, \text{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_u^{(k)} : u \in N(v)\right\}\right)\Big)
$$

Message passing in Graph Neural Networks

$$
x_v^{(k+1)} = \text{COMBINE}\Big(x_v^{(k)}, \text{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_u^{(k)} : u \in N(v)\right\}\right)\Big)
$$

 ${\mathcal F}^{out} = \psi \circ (({\mathcal P}(A)) \, {\mathcal F})$. Here, ${\mathcal P}(A) = A W + I$ and $\psi : {\mathbb R} \longrightarrow {\mathbb R}$

. In general, $P(A) = I + AW_1 + A^2W_2 + ... + A^nW_n$

Message passing in Graph Neural Networks

How powerful are graph neural networks?[\[MBHSL19,](#page-24-0) [XHLJ18\]](#page-24-1)

Theorem

Let G_1 and G_2 be any two non-isomorphic graphs. If a graph neural network $f: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps G_1 and G_2 to different embeddings, the Weisfeiler-Leman graph isomorphism test also decides G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. Converse holds if COMBINE and AGGREGATE are injective[\[XHLJ18\]](#page-24-1).

Definition

A coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Definition

A coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Algorithm Weisfeiler-Leman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement[\[WL68\]](#page-24-2)

1: **Input**:
$$
(V, E, X_V)
$$
 Here, $x_v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ 2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow \text{hash}(x_v)$ 3: **while** $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \,\forall v \in V$ **do** 4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow \text{hash}(c^{(t)}(v), \{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\}$ 5: **Output**: $c^{(T)}(v) \,\forall v \in V$

Definition

A coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Algorithm Weisfeiler-Leman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement[\[WL68\]](#page-24-2)

1: **Input**:
$$
(V, E, X_V)
$$

\n2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow$ hash (x_v)
\n3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \forall v \in V$ do
\n4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow$ hash $(c^{(t)}(v), \{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\})$
\n5: **Output**: $c^{(T)}(v) \forall v \in V$
\n
\nSecretly message passing!

Definition

A coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Algorithm Weisfeiler-Leman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement[\[WL68\]](#page-24-2)

1: Input:
$$
(V, E, X_V)
$$
 Here, $x_v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ \n2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow$ hash (x_v) \n3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \,\forall v \in V$ do \n4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow$ hash $(c^{(t)}(v), \{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\})$ \n5: Output: $c^{(T)}(v) \,\forall v \in V$ \n\nSecrethy message passing! \nBut not belief propagation

Message Passing on Simplicial Complexes complex *K*. Such a model does not only take the 0-forms into account but all the

Simplicial WL [BFW+21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and..

Message Passing on Simplicial Complexes complex *K*. Such a model does not only take the 0-forms into account but all the

Simplicial WL [BFW+21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.

Message Passing on Simplicial Complexes complex *K*. Such a model does not only take the 0-forms into account but all the

Simplicial WL [BFW+21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.

between the simplicity of the simplicity of the complex. The (co)boundary map, which describes the α

SWL is strictly stronger than 3-WL[BFW $^+$ 21] procedure. As seen in Chapter 2, the two terms of the Hodge Laplacian, given by

⋆ ⋆

 $G_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\longrightarrow} G_0$ Directed Graph

 $G_1 \n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bigotimes & G_0\n\end{array}$ Directed Graph

G_1 G_0 Directed Graph

 \therefore $X_3 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_0$ Simplicial Set

 G_1 G_0 Directed Graph

 $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in X_0$

 e_{01} , e_{02} , e_{03} , e_{12} , e_{13} , e_{23} $\in X_1$

*v*₀,*v*₁, *v*₂,*v*₃∈ *X*₀
 *e*₀₁, *e*₀₂, *e*₀₃, *e*₁₂,
 *f*₀₁₂, *f*₀₁₃, *f*₀₂₃, *f*₁₂₃
 *g*₀₁₂₃ ∈ *X*₃ $f_{012}, f_{013}, f_{023}, f_{123}$ ∈ X_2

 $g_{0123}\in\mathcal{X}_3$ **e**₂ **e**₂

Implementation

CinchNET

CinchNET

 \sum^k $j=1$ $\left(D_d^{-1/2} A_d D_d^{-1/2}\right)$ $\left(\bigwedge^{j-1/2}\right)^j W_j\left(\sum^k\right)$ $i=1$ $(D_s^{-1/2}A_sD_s^{-1/2})^i W_iF$ \setminus

• Can we rigorously prove that message passing polynomial $P(A) \simeq$ higher order correlations?

- Can we rigorously prove that message passing polynomial $P(A) \simeq$ higher order correlations?
	- $P(A) = AW$ might help approximate covariance matrix

To pursue

- Can we rigorously prove that message passing polynomial $P(A) \simeq$ higher order correlations?
	- $P(A) = AW$ might help approximate covariance matrix
- Do higher order WL tests give us a variant of junction tree algorithm that does not eliminate cycles?

To pursue

- Can we rigorously prove that message passing polynomial $P(A) \simeq$ higher order correlations?
	- $P(A) = AW$ might help approximate covariance matrix
- Do higher order WL tests give us a variant of junction tree algorithm that does not eliminate cycles?
	- \triangleright Edges, seen as boundaries of 2-simplices, can have their marginal probabilities determined.

References I

- Cristian Bodnar, Fabrizio Frasca, Yuguang Wang, Nina Otter, Guido F Montufar, Pietro Lio, and Michael Bronstein, Weisfeiler and lehman go topological: Message passing simplicial networks, International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 2021, pp. 1026–1037.
- Jin-Yi Cai, Martin F¨urer, and Neil Immerman, An optimal lower bound on the number of variables for graph identification, Combinatorica 12 (1992), no. 4, 389–410.
- Ningyuan Teresa Huang and Soledad Villar, A short tutorial on the weisfeiler-lehman test and its variants, ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 8533–8537.
- Will Hamilton, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec, Inductive representation learning on large graphs, Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).

References II

- Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling, Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 20 (2008), no. 1, 61–80.
- 靠 Haggai Maron, Heli Ben-Hamu, Hadar Serviansky, and Yaron Lipman, Provably powerful graph networks, Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- Martin Mladenov Pascal Schweitzer Martin Grohe, Kristian Kersting, Color refinement and its applications, An Introduction to Lifted Probabilistic Inference (Sriraam Natarajan Davide Poole Guy Van den Broeck, Kristian Kersting, ed.), MIT Press, 2021.
- Boris Weisfeiler and Andrei Leman, The reduction of a graph to canonical form and the algebra which appears therein, nti, Series 2 (1968), no. 9, $12 - 16$
- Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka, How powerful are graph neural networks?, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.00826 (2018).

Thank you!

Examples of Message Passing

AGGREGATE COMBINE Ref
\nMAX
$$
(\{\sigma(W_1.x_u^{(k)})\}, u \in N(v))
$$
 $W_2. [x_v^{(k)}, a_v^{(k+1)}]$ GraphSAGE[HYL17]
\n $W_1.MEAN(x_u^{(k)}, u \in N(v) \cup \{v\})$ $\sigma(\{W_2.a_v^{(k+1)}\})$ GCN[KW08]

k-Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm

Algorithm k -Weisfeiler-Leman $(k$ -WL)

1: **Input**:
$$
(V, E, X_V)
$$

\n2: $c(\overrightarrow{v}) = c^{(0)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow \text{hash}(x_{\overrightarrow{v}})$
\n3: **while** $c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{v}) = c^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$ **do**
\n4: $c_i^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow \{(c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{w}) : w \in N_i(\overrightarrow{v})\} \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k\}$
\n5: $c^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow \text{hash}(c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{v}), c_1^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}), ..., c_k^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v})) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$
\n6: **Output**: $c^{(T)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$

where ${\sf hash}(x_{\overrightarrow{v}})={\sf hash}(x_{\overrightarrow{w}})$ iff $({\sf a})$ $x_{\sf v_i}=x_{\sf w_i}$ and $({\sf b})$ $(\sf v_i,\sf v_j)\in E$ iff $(\sf w_i,\sf w_j)\in E.$ **N.B.:** $N_i(\vec{v}) = \{(v_1, ..., v_{i-1}, u, v_{i+1}, ..., v_k) : u \in V\}.$

If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. Test is inconclusive if coloring of graphs is the same[\[MBHSL19\]](#page-24-0)

If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. Test is inconclusive if coloring of graphs is the same[\[MBHSL19\]](#page-24-0) WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:

If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. Test is inconclusive if coloring of graphs is the same[\[MBHSL19\]](#page-24-0) WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:

k-WL is strictly weaker than $(k + 1)$ -WL[\[HV21\]](#page-23-2)

If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. Test is inconclusive if coloring of graphs is the same[\[MBHSL19\]](#page-24-0) WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:

k-WL is strictly weaker than $(k + 1)$ -WL[\[HV21\]](#page-23-2)

However.. for every k , there is an infinite family of graphs for which the k -WL test fails[\[CFI92\]](#page-23-3)

Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm

Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm

Directed WL Test

Directed WL Test

Algorithm Directed Weisfeiler-Leman (DWL)[\[MG21\]](#page-24-4)

1: Input: (V, E, X_V) $\frac{a}{2}$ 2: $c (v) = c^{(0)} (v) \longleftarrow$ hash(x_v) 3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v)$ $\forall v \in V$ do 4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow$ hash $\begin{pmatrix} c^{(t)}(v), \{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N_{\text{in}}(v)\}, \\ \int_{a}^{u} c^{(t)}(w), w \in M_{\text{in}}(v) \end{pmatrix}$ ${V \choose V}, \{ \{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N_{\text{in}}(v) \}, \atop \{ \{c^{(t)}(u) : w \in N_{\text{out}}(u) \} \}$ 5: ${\mathsf{Output:}}\; \mathsf{c}^{(\mathcal{T})}({\mathsf{v}}) \;\forall {\mathsf{v}} \in \mathsf{V}$

DWL is strictly stronger than WL[\[BFW](#page-23-0)⁺21]

Summary of WL Zoo

Summary of WL Zoo

Simplicial Set WL: $c^{t+1}\left(\sigma\right)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and coloring of its *i*-th boundaries, i-th coboundaries, i-th upper-neighbors and i-th lower-neighbors for $0 \leq i \leq k$ whenever $\sigma \in X_k$

